## Approved For Release 2005/04/22 : CIA-RDP81M00980R000800110014-7

(1.0 #78-305.7 V)

OGC 78-5643 25 August 1978

			Office of negistactive counser	
25X1	FROM	:	Assistant General Counsel	
	SUBJECT	:	Biden Subcommittee Draft Report	
25X1 25X1	memorandum to once you hear volved in this is handling in I assume you to Raffel sind	Keith from s with t for will h	OGC comments on the subject and a draft.  Raffel which you may wish to use DDO on classification. I became in- Raffel only because who had to go out of town.  Anadle from here on and suggest a call promised a response by 23 August, on the 23rd that we would respond by	25X1 25X1
	Attachments			

25X1

MEMORANDUM FOR:

## Central Intelligence Agency

## Approved For Release 2005/04/22 : CIA-RDP81M00980R000800110014-7

Washington, D. C. 20505

	MEMORANDUM FOR:	Senate Select Committee Staff									
25X1	FROM :	Assistant General Counsel									
	SUBJECT :	Draft Report entitled "National Security Secrets: Their Proper Place in the Law"									
	The Agency has no objection to the subject draft report from a security or classification standpoint. We										
	do, however, have some comments and observations on other										
ł	aspects which ar	e set forth in the attached memorandum.									

25X1

CIA Comments on Draft Report Entitled "National Security Secrets: Their Proper Place in the Law"

- The draft report of the Select Committee on Intelligence Subcommittee on Secrecy and Disclosure entitled "National Security Secrets: Their Proper Place in the Law" (hereinafter the report) is a noteworthy attempt to come to grips with a complex, difficult subject: the proper balancing of the often at odds objectives of enforcing the criminal laws and protecting national security interests. These objectives both rank very high on any scale of values and the Agency recognizes that the most desirable solution in cases of conflict is one that serves both interests. fortunately, it is not clear under our system of law, with its guarantees of public and jury trial and broad discovery rights for an accused, that both interests can always be served. No agency deserves blame for the dilemma posed by cases involving legitimate and important secrets and serious crimes. The dilemma is a product of the system and human behavior which, of course, is not controllable. report points out, the key to the proper resolution of this dilemma is the process by which the decision whether to prosecute such cases is reached.
- 2. In light of the above comments, the report gives the unwarranted impression that national security secrets have thwarted numerous prosecutions in a wide variety of situations.

  - b. In the summary at D on pp. 5-6 reference is made to "murder cases" yet the statement on p. 9 is "possibly even one murder case." The Nha Trang murder on p. 26 would at best support only the statement on p. 9.

25X1

## Approved For Release 2005/04/22 : CIA-RDP81M00980R000800110014-7

The report's treatment of the question of applicability of current criminal statutes to "leaks" is inadequate. The statement on p.41 to the effect that most leaks are not criminal because of "lack of criminal intent or direct communication to a foreign agent" creates confusion over whether there is a permissible basis for congressional enactment of criminal legislation in this area. The problem is not one of criminal intent -- the report seems to recognize that strict liability offenses are permissible -- but rather that there is no statute that was clearly intended to apply to leaks. Congress simply has not expressed an intent to generally make such conduct criminal. In both the summary on p. 4 and in the recommendation on p. 55 the report concludes that Congress could more effectively devote its time to policing enforcement of the existing espionage statutes than in pursuing new legislation. conclusion is the major shortcoming of the report and at odds with that reached by Professors Harold Edgar and Benno C. Schmidt in their definitive treatise "The Espionage Statutes and Publication of Defense Information, " 73 Colum. L. Rev. 929 (1973). Their conclusion is that the espionage statutes are inadequate and clumsily drafted, even as they pertain to classical espionage, and should be rewritten.

-	SUBJ	ECT: (Options	»[}											
***************************************	FROM:							EXTENSION NO.						
	Assistant General Counsel						[		DATE 25 August 1978					
ŀ	TO:	(Officer design		oom number, on	<del></del> 1	DATE		OFFICER'S						whon
		-				RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	10 WHOM	. Didw 0 ii				
	1.	Office	of	 Legislat	.iv	e					٠			
-	2.	Counse												
	3.					; ;								
-	4.								1					
	5.													
	6.					79 A								
	7.							.,						
	8.			(1) (1) (2) (2) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4		3		-						
	9.			The state of the s	i-									
	10.					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
	11.					2.1								
-	12.													
	13.													
Andrew Company of the State of	14.		-11-2	8.2										
	15.													